

# Beyond Cultural Mismatch: Leveraging Home Language Practices for School Success



Marjorie Faulstich Orellana  
Graduate School of Education and  
Information Studies, UCLA



# Overview

- Ethnographies of everyday language practice
- The legacy of cultural mismatch theory
- Alternatives perspectives
- Implications for preschool education: practice, policy and research



# Cultural mismatch theory

School underachievement can be explained by differences between home and school practices in non-dominant communities



# Ethnographies of everyday language practices

- Practices vary across communities, cultures, social classes, relationships and contexts
- Some forms better align with typical school discourse styles than others



# Diverse differences

- Practices
  - Tasks/activities: what people do
- Participation structures
  - Who does what with whom
  - gendered and generational structures
- Discourse patterns
  - Who talks with whom in what ways
- Norms, beliefs, values, purposes
- Epistemological stances
  - What counts as knowledge/truth



# Diverse ways with words

- Questioning (Heath, 1983)
- Teasing (Miller, 1986)
- Storytelling (Heath, 1983; Miller et al, 2005)
- Recitation practices in religious education (Baquedano-López, 2003)
- Varied forms of language play (Lee, 2007; Cintrón, 1997; Zentella, 1997)
- Translation/interpretation practices (Orellana et al, 2003)
- Forms of directives (Delpit, 1986; Ballenger, 1999)
- “Intent participation” and ways of attending (Rogoff et al, 2003)



# What this research reveals

- All communities engage rich *repertoires of linguistic practice*
- All children are socialized both through and to language
  - (Ochs and Schiefflin, 1984)



# But...

- Differences --> Deficits?
- What is assumed “normal”?
- What practices are seen/not seen?





- 
- Insert picture



- **Insert**





# Miguel reads (in translation) to his brother

Le voy a leer un cuento a  
mi hermanito que se llama  
Roberto.

Se llama *A Catch of  
Jewels*.

Un manojo de joyas.

And other collective  
nouns.

Y otras, um, palabras.

*I'm going to read a  
story to my little brother  
(whose name is)  
Roberto.*

*It's called A Catch of  
Jewels.*

*A handful of jewels.*

*And other collective  
nouns. And other, um,  
words.*



**Miguel:**

a flock of sheep

a flock of sheep es  
como

una familia de  
boreguitas y  
boreguitos

**Roberto:** Uh, sheep

**Miguel:**

A flock of sheep

A flock of sheep is like  
a family of (female)  
sheeps and (male)  
sheeps.

**Robert:** Uh, sheep



# More dangers of group comparisons

- Obscures within-group variation; encourages over-generalization
  - In qualitative research as well as in quantitative
- Treats cultural as static; ignores cultural change
- Tends to divorce culture from structures and contexts
- Creates problems for practice
  - How to “fix” presumed mismatches



# Efforts to reconcile “mismatches”

- Change home practices
  - Family Literacy Programs
  - Parent Education Programs





# Efforts to reconcile “mismatches”

- Change school practices

- Kamahameha Project

- Change participation structures and forms of talk (Au, 1980)

- Cheche Konnen Project

- Change student-teacher relationships and discourse patterns (Warren and Rosebery; Ballenger, 1992)



# Challenges

- Home and school are structured around fundamentally different norms and values such that they can never really be aligned
- And: It is not easy to change cultural practices
- Further: What to do with diverse differences?



# Alternatives to identifying “mismatches”

- Identify the full *repertoires of practice* (Gutiérrez and Rogoff, 2003) in which children participate
  - at home, in school, in church, on the playground, in stores, clinics, visits to home countries...
  - with parents, siblings, peers, friends, teachers, other relatives, neighbors...
  - over time, as their spheres of activity expand



# Discontinuities *and* Continuities

- Identify *continuities* with school practices as well as discontinuities
- Consider how discontinuities are negotiated
- Focus on how environments can facilitate this negotiation



# Discontinuities as *Generative*

- Make differences explicit to promote metalinguistic and metacultural awareness
  - Phonological, morphological, syntactical, writing conventions, cultural practices, epistemological stances...
- Create environments that promote and accommodate transcultural navigation




# Expanding repertoires of practice

Rather than attempting to *align* home and school, we can think about how to help *all* children expand their repertoires of practice, acquiring additional “ways with words” and deploying their language skills flexibly as they move across contexts, situations, and relationships.



# Cultural Modeling

- Treats home “funds of knowledge” (Moll and Greenberg, 1990) and everyday language practices as generative resources
- Identifies analogues with disciplinary modes of reasoning and/or school practices
- Identifies skills that can be *transformed* for use in school



# Cultural Modeling Efforts

- Analogues between “signifying” and literary tropes in high school English classes
  - Lee 1995; 1997; 2000; 2007
- Analogues between translation/interpretation work and school paraphrasing tasks
  - Orellana and Reynolds, 2008





# Considerations for Preschool Education

- How can we support educators in identifying children's linguistic repertoires of practice?
- What analogues can we identify between immigrant home literacy practices and preschool practices?
- How can we support educators in leveraging everyday language skills for the development of school-valued literacies...and in expanding children's repertoires of practice?



# Research needs

- Better connections between ethnographic (practice-focused) research and quantitative/developmental (outcomes-focused) research
  - Continuously rethink the categories name and the comparisons we set up
  - Develop ways to study the impact of *practices* on outcomes
    - E.g. Language Brokering --> Academic Achievement (Dorner, Orellana and Li-Grining, 2007)
- Ways of addressing culture in complex, deep and dynamic ways in both quantitative and qualitative research