

LINKING STATE CHILDCARE AND CHILD WELFARE POLICIES AND OUTCOMES

Shannon T. Lipscomb, Oregon State University
Shannon.lipscomb@osucascades.edu

Mary Elizabeth Meloy, Society for Research in Child
Development

Jenna Finch, Georgetown University

Child Care Policy Research Consortium
October, 2012

BACKGROUND

- **Area of study:** Early Care and Education (ECE) for children involved in Child Welfare/foster care.
 - Role of subsidies
- **Our prior research:**
 - Effects of child care subsidy receipt/stability on child welfare/foster care placements & vice versa.
 - CCDF admin data, linked with child welfare/foster care data: Oregon and Illinois
 - Differences in CCDF policies across states.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

- **What are the ways in which CCDF policies treat children/families involved in child welfare?**
 - Are these policies associated with characteristics of child welfare populations within states?
 - What are the potential implications of varying policies for children and families involved in child welfare?
- At CCPRC last year, we heard about the CCDF State Policy Database!
- **Approach:** Link CCDF policy data with state-level data on child-welfare.

DATA

■ 2009 CCDF Policies Database

- Variables: policies for families under CPS investigation AND foster families:
 - Work hour requirements
 - Activity requirements
 - Copayment policies
 - Priority policies

■ Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System(AFCARS) for 2009

- State-level data:
 - Demographics of the children in child welfare system
 - Child welfare placements:
 - Types (i.e. relatives versus non, group homes/ institutions)
 - Numbers of placements (e.g. transitions)

ANALYSIS

- Utilize latent class analysis to identify patterns of CCDF policies across states for families involved in child welfare.
 - Work hour requirements
 - Activity requirements
 - Copayment policies
 - Priority policies

- Sets up (at least) 2 opportunities:
 - 1) Examine links between these patterns of CCDF policies and the characteristics of child welfare populations within states.
 - 2) Set foundation for future research in which we request admin. CCDF data from state(s) within each “class”/category of policies. Examine effects of child care subsidy receipt/stability on child welfare placements/stability & vice versa.

BENEFITS AND CHALLENGES OF USING THE CCDF DATABASE

■ Benefits:

- Comprehensive source for state policy data that would take a huge amount time to collect otherwise.
- Systematic data across states – can set-up subsequent analysis of state CCDF data.

■ Challenges:

- Data are not continuously updated as policies shift.
- Missing Data.
 - Small N because analysis is at the state-level; missing even 10% of data has big implications.