



# Integration of QRIS and State Professional Development Systems

Sheila Smith  
National Center for Children in Poverty

Annual Meeting of the Child Care Policy Research Consortium  
October 21, 2010

We gratefully acknowledge the support  
of the Birth to Five Policy Alliance



**National Center for Children in Poverty**  
Mailman School of Public Health  
Columbia University



# Selected findings from a recent survey about PD/TA in QRISs: Implications for Integration

- ◆ Spring of this year, conducted survey of 17 states with state-wide QRISs
- ◆ Focus on features of group professional development and on-site assistance
- ◆ Key topics included: Targeting and actual use of on-site assistance; types of activities TA providers use in on-site visits; content of on-site and group PD, support for providers of PD/TA



## Features of On-site Assistance

- ◆ Overall, on-site assistance not widely used by lower-rated programs. Few states target lower-rated programs and even those that do report that few programs and home-based providers use on-site assistance.
- ◆ Typical intensity of on-site assistance is low. Over half the states report that the typical frequency of visits is fewer than monthly or monthly.
- ◆ Many states reported using some on-site assistance linked to group training, but states also reported that most group training occurs as single day workshops.



## Activities that occur frequently in on-site TA/coaching

- “Talking to teachers or providers about how to improve classroom or home environments....and activities” ~ 82% (14)
- “Talking to a center-based director about how to improve the program and classroom quality” ~ 82% (14)
- “Observing teachers or providers interacting with children and giving guidance and feedback” ~ 59% (10)
- “Modeling best practices for teachers or providers” ~ 35% (6)



## Content Areas that are Focus of PD/TA

- ◆ The three content areas most often reported as a frequent focus of PD/TA: improving the classroom environment, learning how to conduct classroom assessments, and improving supports for children's social-emotional development.
- ◆ Some areas key to children's early learning were reported by fewer states: supporting language and early literacy growth (about half); monitoring children's learning and individualizing the curriculum (under a quarter), and helping parents promote children's learning (one state).



## Providers of group training/TA (supports and credentials)

- ◆ Fewer than half the states reported using a formal guide for on-site assistance either for training or as resource for the TA provider.
- ◆ Participation in state-developed training and reliability on ERS assessments were commonly cited as requirements.



## Implications for Integration

- ◆ How can states find resources for higher-intensity, on-site assistance? One strategy is to invest less in lower-impact PD and shift resources to potentially higher impact PD. Are some states mapping investments in different kinds of PD across larger PD system, and is this a feasible and possibly valuable strategy?
- ◆ What strategies can increase the use of evidence-based practices? Cross-sector training (child care, Pre-k, Head Start, Special Education, early childhood mental health); Use of more standardized tools and resources (training guides, QIP planning protocols, coaching protocols).
- ◆ What are the benefits and downsides of standardizing assistance across the larger system?



# Thank You!

For more information, please contact:

Sheila Smith

Director, Early Childhood at NCCP

[sheila.smith@nccp.org](mailto:sheila.smith@nccp.org)